



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | FI   | LING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |  |
|--------------------------|------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/028,573               | 1    | 2/19/2001  | Eric Klingler        | 10194.8032.US01     | 3290            |  |
| 30083                    | 7590 | 02/27/2006 |                      | EXAMINER            |                 |  |
| PERKINS (<br>P.O. BOX 12 |      | P/AWS      |                      | PYZOCHA, i          | MICHAEL J       |  |
| SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247   |      |            |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |  |
| ,                        |      |            |                      | 2137                |                 |  |

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| r   |   |   |  |
|---|---|---|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |
| Advisory Action   | 10/028,573  | KLINGLER ET AL.   |  |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |
|   | Michael Pyzocha   | 2137  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add                                       | ress                                       |
| THE REPLY FILED 07 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS  | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO   | R ALLOWANCE.  |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notan Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:   | owing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in once with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply more                | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C            | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |
| <ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this.</li> </ul>   | •   | in the final rejection, wh                              | ishayaria latar In                         |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire   |   |   |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 1   |   | FIRST REPLY WAS F                                       | ILED WITHIN                                |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | xtension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>er than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr<br>inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.   | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th                                   |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>   |   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further of</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or</li> </ol>   | onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);   | TE below);  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  | corresponding number of finally rej   | ected claims.   |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))   |   |   |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.  | 121. See attached Notice of Non-Co  | mpliant Amendment                                       | (PTOL-324).                                |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s   | ):  |   |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | illowable if submitted in a separate,   | timely filed amendme                                    | ent canceling the                          |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:   | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will poided below or appended.   | ll be entered and an e                                  | explanation of                             |
| Claim(s) rejected:  |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |   |   |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessation.  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper<br>ry and was not earlier presented. S   | al and/or appellant fa<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(           | ils to provide a<br>1).                    |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | on of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attacl                                 | ned.                                       |
| 11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered b  See Continuation Sheet.  | ut does NOT place the application in  | n condition for allowa                                  | nce because:                               |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N   | lo(s)   |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments submitted on 02/07/2006 have been considered, but are not persuasive. Applicant argues the Malek does not use a control part to initiate an encryption or decryption process.

With respect to Applicant's argument that Malek does not use a control part to initiate an encryption or decryption process, in column 4 lines 47-57 Malek teaches that, "The synchronization part 204 and the control part 206 are used to synchronize the encryption and decryption". Even though the synchronization part and the control part may be separate they are both require for synchronization as described in the above passage. Applicant further cites portions of Malek that teach the synchronization part enable, not initiate, encryption and decryption, again as described in the passage above both the synchronization part and the control part are used for the synchronization and therefore the encryption or decryption cannot be started without the correct control part..